

The Power of Registers

Prof R. Guerraoui Distributed Programming Laboratory









Registers

- Question 1: what objects can we implement with registers? (this lecture)
- Question 2: what objects we cannot implement? (next lecture)

Wait-free implementations of atomic objects

- An **atomic** object is simply defined by its sequential specification; i.e., by how its operations should be implemented when there is no concurrency
- Implementations should be wait-free: every process that invokes eventually gets a reply (unless the process crashes)


Counter (sequential spec)

A counter has two operations inc() and read() and maintains an integer x init to 0

- read():
 - return(x)
- inc():
 - x := x + 1;
 - return(ok)

Naive implementation

- The processes share one register Reg
- read():
 - return(Reg.read())
- inc():
 - temp:= Reg.read()+1;
 - Reg.write(temp);
 - return(ok)



Atomic implementation


The processes share an array of registers Reg[1,..,n]

```
f inc():
```

- Reg[i].write(Reg[i].read() +1);
- return(ok)

Atomic implementation

```
read():
    sum := 0;
    for j = 1 to n do
        sum := sum + Reg[j].read();
    return(sum)
```



Snapshot (sequential spec)


A snapshot has operations update() and scan() and maintains an array x of size n

- scan():
 - return(x)
- update(i,v):
 - x[i] := v;
 - return(ok)

Very naive implementation


Each process maintains an array of integer variables x init to [0,..,0]


- scan():
 - return(x)
- update(i,v):
 - x[i] := v;
 - return(ok)




Less naive implementation

- The processes share one array of N registers Reg[1,..,N]
- scan():
 - σ for j = 1 to N do
 - r x[j] := Reg[j].read();
 - return(x)
- r update(i,v):
 - Reg[i].write(v); return(ok)







Non-atomic vs atomic snapshot

What we implement here is some kind of regular snapshot:


- A **scan** returns, for every index of the snapshot, the last written values or the value of any concurrent update
- We call it collect

Key idea for atomicity

To **scan**, a process keeps reading the entire snapshot (i.e., it **collect**), until two results are the same

This means that the snapshot did not change, and it is safe to return without violating atomicity

Same value vs. Same timestamp




Enforcing atomicity

- The processes share one array of N registers Reg[1,..,N]; each contains a value and a timestamp
- We use the following operation for modularity
- collect():

Enforcing atomicity (cont'd)

```
scan():
   temp1 := self.collect();
   while(true) do
      rtemp2 := self.collect();
      rtemp1 := temp2;
      f if (temp1 = temp2) then
         return (temp1.val)
r update(i,v):
   r ts := ts + 1;
   Reg[i].write(v,ts);
   return(ok)
```

Wait-freedom?



Key idea for atomicity & wait-freedom

- The processes share an array of **registers** Reg[1,..,N] that contains each:
 - a value,
 - a timestamp, and
 - a copy of the entire array of values

Key idea for atomicity & wait-freedom (cont'd)

- To **scan**, a process keeps collecting and returns a collect if it did not change, or some collect returned by a concurrent **scan**
 - Timestamps are used to check if the collect changes or if a scan has been taken in the meantime
- To update, a process scans and writes the value, the new timestamp and the result of the scan

Snapshot implementation

Every process keeps a local timestamp ts

```
rupdate(i,v):
    ts := ts + 1;
    Reg[i].write(v,ts,self.scan());
    return(ok)
```

Snapshot implementation

```
r scan():
  t1 := self.collect(); t2:= t1
  while(true) do
     r t3:= self.collect();
     \sigma if (t3 = t2) then return (t3[j,3]);
     r for j = 1 to N do
     return (t3[j,3])
     r t2 := t3
```

Possible execution?

