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## Example 1 (Conditional statements)

Write the converse, contrapositive and inverse of the following sentence:
"If process $x$ fails, then process $y$ never receives message m"

## Reminder:

Let P be the proposition $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}$ :

- The converse of $P$ is: $q \rightarrow p$ :
- The inverse of $P$ is: $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
- The contrapositive of $P$ is: $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$


## Notes:

- Only the contrapositive of a conditional statement is equivalent to it.
- The proposition "p iff q" means that both $P$ and the converse of $P$ are true.


## Exercise 1 (Conditional statements)

Write the negation of the following sentence:
"If process $x$ fails, then process y never receives message m"

Reminder:
Let $P$ be a proposition. The negation of $P$ is $\neg P$ ("not $P$ "). For example:

- $\quad$ " 7 is odd" $=$ " 7 is not odd" $=$ " 7 is even" (if you prove it!)
- $\quad$ "All cats are animals" = "Some cats are not animals"


## Hints:

- The negation of $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ is not $p \rightarrow$ $\neg \mathrm{q}$.
- Express the implication in terms of and and or expressions.


## Example 2

If the following statement is true:
If process i fails, then instantly all processes jキi fail

Which of the following are also true?

1. If a process $j \neq i$ fails, then process $i$ has failed,
2. If a process $j \neq i$ fails, nothing can be said about process $i$,
3. If a process $j \neq i$ fails, then process $i$ has not fai led,
(continues on next slide)

## Example 2 (contd)

4. If no process $j \neq i$ fails, nothing can be said about process $i$,
5. If no process $j \neq i$ fails, then process $i$ has failed,
6. If no process $j \neq i$ fails, then process i has not failed,
7. If all processes $j \neq i$ fail, then process $i$ has failed,
8. If all processes $\mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{i}$ fail, nothing can be said about process i ,
9. If all processes $j \neq i$ fail, then process i has not failed,
10. If some process $j \neq i$ does not fail, nothing can be said about process $i$,
11. If some process $j \neq i$ does not fail, then process $i$ has failed,
12. If some process $j \neq i$ does not fail, then process $i$ has not failed.

## Exercise 2

Replace "instantly" with "eventually" in Example 2.

## Exercise 2 (solution)

1. False: Some process j can fail for a reason not related to the failure of process i .
2. True: explanation in (1).
3. False: explanation in (1).
4. True: Because of "eventually".
5. False.
6. False: Because of "eventually".
7. False.
8. True: Nothing can be said about process i.
9. False.
10. True: Nothing can be said about process $i$, because of "eventually".
11. False.
12. False: Nothing can be said about process $i$, because of "eventually".

## Example 3 (Proof by cases)

Let $x, y, z, q$ be natural numbers such that

$$
x^{2}+5 y^{2}+5 z^{2}=q^{2}
$$

Prove that $q$ is even if and only if all of $x, y$, and $z$ are even as well.

## Exercise 3 (Proof by cases)

Prove that $x+|x-7| \geq 7$

## Exercise 3 (solution)

For the set of real numbers, we know that:

- $|a|=-a$, if $a<0$
- $|a|=a$, if $a \geq 0$

So:

- If $x<7$ : $|x-7|=7-x$, therefore $x+|x-7|=x+(7-x)=7 \geq 7$
- If $x \geq 7:|x-7|=x-7$, therefore $x+|x-7|=x+(x-7)=2 x-7 \geq 2^{*} 7-7 \rightarrow x+$ $|x-7| \geq 7$


## Example 4 (Proof by contradiction)

Prove that the set of prime numbers is infinite.

## Exercise 4 (Proof by contradiction)

Prove that if $\alpha^{2}$ is even, $\alpha$ is even.

## Exercise 4 (solution)

When we want to prove something by contradiction, we start by assuming that the negation (of whatever we are trying to prove) is true.

We said in the classroom that $p \rightarrow q$ is equivalent to $\neg p \vee q$. Therefore the negation of $p \rightarrow q$ is $p \wedge \neg q$.

With that said, let's assume that $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ is even and $a$ is odd. Since $a$ is odd, a can be written as $a=2 k+1$. Therefore, $a^{2}=(2 k+1)^{2}=4 k^{2}+4 k+1=2\left(2 k^{2}+2 k\right)+1$. Thus, $a^{2}$ is odd, a contradiction!

## Bonus Exercise 4 (Proof by contradiction)

Prove that $\sqrt{ } 2$ is irrational.
Hint: Use the result of exercise 4

Proof by contradiction:

- In order to prove $p$, find a contradiction $q$ such that $\neg p \rightarrow$ $q$ is true.
- A contradiction always has the form: $q \equiv r \wedge \neg r$.


## Hints:

- Use the result of Exercise 3.
- A rational number is always in the form $r / q$, where $r$ is integer, q is natural, and r and q have no common divisor.


## Exercise 4 (Solution)

- Assume that $\sqrt{ } 2$ is rational, i.e. $\sqrt{ } 2=a / b$ where $a, b$ are coprime (have no common divisors).
- We square both sides, thus $2=a^{2} / b^{2} \rightarrow a^{2}=2 b^{2}$.
- Therefore, $a^{2}$ is even, and using the result of the previous exercise we know that a is even.
- Since $a$ is even, it has the form $a=2 k$. We substitute this in the previous equation and we have that:
- $(2 k)^{2}=2 b^{2} \rightarrow 4 k^{2}=2 b^{2} \rightarrow b^{2}=2 k^{2}$.
- Since $b^{2}=2 k^{2}$, this means that $b^{2}$ is even $\rightarrow b$ is even, which is a contradiction!
- The contradiction is that we assumed $a, b$ to be coprime, but we concluded that both are even!


## Example 5 (proof by induction)

Let a swiss chocolate of rectangular shape $m \times n$. What is the smallest number of cuts we need to do, in order to break up the chocolate in individual pieces of size $1 \times 1$ ?

A cut is defined as any line that:

1. Does not cross itself,
2. Starts and ends on the perimeter of the chocolate piece it cuts.

Note: You cannot consider a cut on two pieces as a single cut, just because these
e.g. pieces are next to each other.


## Exercise 5 (proof by induction)

A chessboard of size $2^{n} \times 2^{n}(n \geq 0)$ has all of its squares painted white, except for one arbitrary square, which is painted black.

Prove that for every $n \geq 0$, you can cover all the white squares of the chessboard with L-shaped non-overlapping tiles.
e.g. $\quad{ }_{n=0}$

$$
n=0
$$



$$
n=2
$$

L-shaped tile


## Exercise 5 (solution 1/2)

We will use induction:

- Base case ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ ): We can tile one black square, using 0 L-shaped tiles.
- Inductive step: Suppose this property holds for $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$ :
- i.e., we can tile a $2^{n} x 2^{n}$ grid using L-shaped tiles, leaving a single square uncovered (the black square) at an arbitrary location. We will show how to tile a $2^{n+1} x 2^{n+1}$ grid.


## Exercise 5 (solution 2/2)



Suppose the grid has size $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ (we show a grid for $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) and the black square is somewhere in the grid.


We can tile each sub-grid because of the inductive

For the three squares in the middle, we can step. For the top-left sub-griduse an L-shaped tile, we leave the green square uncovered. We also leave the blue and the red squares uncovered in their corresponding sub-grids.

## Bonus Exercise 5 (proof by induction)

Consider a country with $n \geq 2$ cities. For every pair of different cities $x, y$, there exists a direct route (single direction) either from $x$ to $y$ or from $y$ to $x$. Show that there exists a city that we can reach from every other city either directly or through exactly one intermediate city.

## Exercise 5 (solution 1/2)

We name "central" the a city that we can reach from every other city either directly or through exactly one intermediate city.

Base case ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ): It obviously holds. Either one of the cities is "central".
Inductive step: Suppose this property holds for $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$ cities. We will prove that it will still hold for $\mathrm{n}+1$ cities.

## Exercise 5 (solution 2/2)

Let $\mathrm{n}+1$ cities, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, where for every pair of different cities $c_{j}, c_{j}$, there exists a direct route (single direction) either from $c_{i}$ to $c_{j}$ or from $c_{j}$ to $c_{i}$

We consider only the first $n$ cities, i.e. cities $c_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n-1$. According to the inductive step, there exists one central city among these $n$ cities. Let $c_{j}$ be that city.

We now exclude city $c_{j}$ and consider the rest of the cities. Again, we have $n$ cities, therefore there should exist one city among them that is central. Let $c_{k}$ be that city.

All cities apart from $c_{j}$ and $c_{k}$ can reach $c_{j}$ and $c_{k}$ either directly or through one intermediate city.
Furthermore, there exists a route between $c_{j}$ and $c_{k}$ :

- If the route is directed from $c_{j}$ to $c_{k}$, then $c_{k}$ is the central city for the $n+1$ cities.
- If the route is directed from $c_{k}$ to $c_{j}$, then $c_{j}$ is the central city for the $n+1$ cities.

