# Distributed Algorithms

# Logic 101 1st exercise session, 23/09/2019

Matteo Monti <<u>matteo.monti@epfl.ch</u>> Athanasios Xygkis <<u>athanasios.xygkis@epfl.ch</u>>

# Example 1 (Conditional statements)

Write the converse, contrapositive and inverse of the following sentence:

"If process x fails, then process y never receives message m"

Reminder:

Let P be the proposition  $p \rightarrow q$ :

- The *converse* of P is:  $q \rightarrow p$ :
- The inverse of P is:  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
- The contrapositive of P is:  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Notes:

- Only the contrapositive of a conditional statement is equivalent to it.
- The proposition "p iff q" means that both P and the converse of P are true.

# Exercise 1 (Conditional statements)

Write the negation of the following sentence:

"If process x fails, then process y never receives message m"

Reminder:

#### Hints:

Let P be a proposition. The negation of P is  $\neg$ P ("not P"). For example:

- ¬"7 is odd" = "7 is not odd" = "7 is even" (if you prove it!)
- ¬"All cats are animals" = "Some cats are not animals"

- The negation of  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  is *not*  $p \rightarrow \neg q$ .
- Express the implication in terms of *and* and *or* expressions.

# Example 2

If the following statement is true:

If process i fails, then instantly all processes j≠i fail

Which of the following are also true?

- 1. If a process  $j \neq i$  fails, then process i has failed,
- 2. If a process j≠i fails, nothing can be said about process i,
- If a process j≠i fails, then process i has not fai led,

(continues on next slide)

# Example 2 (contd)

- 4. If no process j≠i fails, nothing can be said about process i,
- 5. If no process  $j \neq i$  fails, then process i has failed,
- 6. If no process  $j \neq i$  fails, then process i has not failed,
- 7. If all processes  $j \neq i$  fail, then process i has failed,
- 8. If all processes  $j \neq i$  fail, nothing can be said about process i,
- 9. If all processes  $j \neq i$  fail, then process i has not failed,
- 10. If some process j≠i does not fail, nothing can be said about process i,
- 11. If some process  $j \neq i$  does not fail, then process i has failed,
- 12. If some process  $j \neq i$  does not fail, then process i has not failed.

#### Exercise 2

#### Replace "instantly" with "eventually" in Example 2.

# Exercise 2 (solution)

- 1. False: Some process j can fail for a reason not related to the failure of process i.
- 2. True: explanation in (1).
- 3. False: explanation in (1).
- 4. True: Because of "eventually".
- 5. False.
- 6. False: Because of "eventually".
- 7. False.
- 8. True: Nothing can be said about process i.
- 9. False.
- 10. True: Nothing can be said about process i, because of "eventually".
- 11. False.
- 12. False: Nothing can be said about process i, because of "eventually".

# Example 3 (Proof by cases)

Let *x*, *y*, *z*, *q* be natural numbers such that

$$x^2 + 5y^2 + 5z^2 = q^2$$

Prove that *q* is even if and only if all of *x*, *y*, and *z* are even as well.

#### Exercise 3 (Proof by cases)

Prove that  $x + |x - 7| \ge 7$ 

#### Exercise 3 (solution)

For the set of real numbers, we know that:

- |a| = -a, if a < 0
- |a| = a, if  $a \ge 0$

So:

- If x < 7: |x 7| = 7 x, therefore  $x + |x 7| = x + (7 x) = 7 \ge 7$
- If  $x \ge 7$ : |x 7| = x 7, therefore  $x + |x 7| = x + (x 7) = 2x 7 \ge 2^*7 7 \rightarrow x + |x 7| \ge 7$

#### Example 4 (Proof by contradiction)

Prove that the set of prime numbers is infinite.

#### Exercise 4 (Proof by contradiction)

Prove that if  $\alpha^2$  is even,  $\alpha$  is even.

## Exercise 4 (solution)

When we want to prove something by contradiction, we start by assuming that the negation (of whatever we are trying to prove) is true.

We said in the classroom that  $p \rightarrow q$  is equivalent to  $\neg p \lor q$ . Therefore the negation of  $p \rightarrow q$  is  $p \land \neg q$ .

With that said, let's assume that  $a^2$  is even and a is odd. Since a is odd, a can be written as a=2k+1. Therefore,  $a^2 = (2k+1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$ . Thus,  $a^2$  is odd, a contradiction!

# Bonus Exercise 4 (Proof by contradiction)

Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Hint: Use the result of exercise 4

*Proof by contradiction:* 

Hints:

- In order to prove *p*, find a contradiction *q* such that ¬*p* → *q* is true.
- A contradiction always has the form:  $q \equiv r \land \neg r$ .

- Use the result of Exercise 3.
- A rational number is always in the form r/q, where r is integer, q is natural, and r and q *have no common divisor*.

#### Exercise 4 (Solution)

- Assume that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational, i.e.  $\sqrt{2}$  = a/b where a,b are coprime (have no common divisors).
- We square both sides, thus  $2 = a^2/b^2 \rightarrow a^2 = 2b^2$ .
- Therefore, a<sup>2</sup> is even, and using the result of the previous exercise we know that a is even.
- Since a is even, it has the form a=2k. We substitute this in the previous equation and we have that:
- $(2k)^2 = 2b^2 \rightarrow 4k^2 = 2b^2 \rightarrow b^2 = 2k^2$ .
- Since  $b^2 = 2k^2$ , this means that  $b^2$  is even  $\rightarrow b$  is even, which is a contradiction!
- The contradiction is that we assumed a,b to be coprime, but we concluded that both are even!

# Example 5 (proof by induction)

Let a swiss chocolate of rectangular shape mxn. What is the smallest number of *cuts* we need to do, in order to break up the chocolate in individual pieces of size 1x1?

e.g.

A cut is defined as any line that:

- 1. Does not cross itself,
- 2. Starts and ends on the perimeter of the chocolate piece it cuts.

*Note*: You cannot consider a cut on two pieces as a single cut, just because these pieces are next to each other.



# Exercise 5 (proof by induction)

A chessboard of size  $2^{n}x2^{n}$  ( $n \ge 0$ ) has all of its squares painted white, except for one arbitrary square, which is painted black.

Prove that for every  $n \ge 0$ , you can cover all the white squares of the chessboard with L-shaped non-overlapping tiles.









L-shaped tile

n = 2

# Exercise 5 (solution 1/2)

We will use induction:

- Base case (n=0): We can tile one black square, using 0 L-shaped tiles.
- Inductive step: Suppose this property holds for  $n \ge 0$ :
  - i.e., we can tile a 2<sup>n</sup>x2<sup>n</sup> grid using L-shaped tiles, leaving a single square uncovered (the black square) at an *arbitrary* location. We will show how to tile a 2<sup>n+1</sup>x2<sup>n+1</sup> grid.

#### Exercise 5 (solution 2/2)









Suppose the grid has size  $2^{n+1}x2^{n+1}$  (we show a grid for n=3) and the black square is somewhere in the grid.

We split the  $2^{n+1}x2^{n+1}$  grid in 4 sub-grids of size  $2^nx2^n$ .

We can tile each sub-grid For the three squares because of the inductive in the middle, we can step. For the top-left sub-griduse an L-shaped tile. we leave the green square uncovered. We also leave the blue and the red squares uncovered in their corresponding sub-grids.

# Bonus Exercise 5 (proof by induction)

Consider a country with  $n \ge 2$  cities. For every pair of different cities *x*, *y*, there exists a direct route (single direction) either from *x* to *y* or from *y* to *x*. Show that there exists a city that we can reach from every other city either directly or through exactly one intermediate city.

# Exercise 5 (solution 1/2)

We name "central" the a city that we can reach from every other city either directly or through exactly one intermediate city.

Base case (n=2): It obviously holds. Either one of the cities is "central".

Inductive step: Suppose this property holds for  $n \ge 2$  cities. We will prove that it will still hold for n+1 cities.

# Exercise 5 (solution 2/2)

Let n+1 cities,  $c_i$ , i=0, ..., n, where for every pair of different cities  $c_i$ ,  $c_i$ , there exists a direct route (single direction) either from  $c_i$  to  $c_j$  or from  $c_j$  to  $c_j$ .

We consider only the first n cities, i.e. cities  $c_i$ , i=0, ..., n-1. According to the inductive step, there exists one central city among these n cities. Let c<sub>i</sub> be that city.

We now exclude city  $c_j$  and consider the rest of the cities. Again, we have n cities, therefore there should exist one city among them that is central. Let  $c_k$  be that city.

All cities apart from  $c_i$  and  $c_k$  can reach  $c_i$  and  $c_k$  either directly or through one intermediate city.

- Furthermore, there exists a route between c<sub>j</sub> and c<sub>k</sub>:
  If the route is directed from c<sub>j</sub> to c<sub>k</sub>, then c<sub>k</sub> is the central city for the n+1 cities.
  If the route is directed from c<sub>k</sub> to c<sub>j</sub>, then c<sub>j</sub> is the central city for the n+1 cities.