Distributed Systems Non-Blocking Atomic Commit

Prof R. Guerraoui Distributed Programming Laboratory

Non-Blocking Atomic Commit: An Agreement Problem





Transactions (Gray)

 A transaction is an atomic program describing a sequence of accesses to shared and distributed information

• A transaction can be terminated either by *committing* or *aborting*



Transactions

beginTransaction

- Pierre.credit(1.000.000)
- Paul.debit(1.000.000)
- outcome := commitTransaction
- f if (outcome = abort) then ...



ACID properties

Atomicity: a transaction either performs entirely or none at all

Consistency: a transaction transforms a consistent state into another consistent state

Isolation: a transaction appears to be executed in isolation

Durability: the effects of a transaction that commits are permanent



The Consistency Contract

(system) Atomicity Isolation Durability

(programmer)

Consistency (local)

Consistency (global)



Distributed Transaction



- As in consensus, every process has an initial value 0 (*no*) or 1 (*yes*) and must decide on a final value 0 (*abort*) or 1 (*commit*)
- The proposition means the ability to commit the transaction
- The decision reflects the contract with the user
- Unlike consensus, the processes here seek to decide 1 but every process has a veto right

NBAC1. Agreement: No two processes decide differently

NBAC2. Termination: Every correct process eventually decides

NBAC3. Commit-Validity: 1 can only be decided if all processes propose 1

NBAC4. Abort-Validity: 0 can only be decided if some process crashes or votes 0









2-Phase Commit





2-Phase Commit





2-Phase Commit





Events

- Request: <Propose, v>
- // Indication: <Decide, v'>
- Properties:
 - NBAC1, NBAC2, NBAC3, NBAC4



Algorithm (nbac)

- Implements: nonBlockingAtomicCommit (nbac).
- Vses:
 - BestEffortBroadcast (beb).
 - PerfectFailureDetector (P).
 - UniformConsensus (uniCons).
- r upon event < Init > do
 - r prop := 1;
 - \checkmark delivered := \varnothing ; correct := Π ;



Algorithm (nbac – cont'd) r upon event < crash, pi > do correct := correct \ {pi} r upon event < Propose, v > do r trigger < bebBroadcast, v>; upon event <bebDeliver, pi, v> do delivered := delivered U {pi}; rop := prop * v;



Algorithm (nbac – cont'd)

- ✓ upon event correct \ delivered = empty do
 ✓ if correct $\neq \Pi$
 - r prop := 0;
 - r trigger < uncPropose, prop>;

r upon event < uncDecide, decision> do
rtrigger < Decide, decision>;



nbac with ucons





nbac with ucons





nbac with ucons





• Do we need perfect failure detector P?

• 1. <>P is not enough

• 2. P is needed if one process can crash



• Do we need perfect failure detector P?

• 1. <>P is not enough

• 2. P is needed if one process can crash



1. Run 1











1. Run 3





• Do we need perfect failure detector P?

• 1. <>P is not enough

• 2. P is needed if one process can crash



2. P is needed with one crash





History

- Atomic Commit (Eswaran/Gray 76 Gray 78)
- NBAC (Skeen 81)
 - Complexity of Sync NBAC (DS 83)
- Async NBAC (Had 90 Gue 95)
 - Fast Async NBAC (KD95, GLS95, GL06)
- FD NBAC (DFGHTK 04)
 - Optimal NBAC (GW17)