

STiDC'07: Exercise 2

October 8, 2007 (updated on October 22, 2007)

1 Problem 1

In Exercise 1, we were implementing a binary consensus object from a queue initialized to $\langle \textit{winner}, \textit{loser} \rangle$ and two atomic registers, in a system of 2 processes. Write an algorithm that implements binary consensus for 2 processes using (any number of) queue objects that are *initially empty* and atomic registers.

2 Problem 2

Assume we have a shared object Q that implements, among others, an operation $init(s)$ that atomically changes the state of Q to s . Let A be an algorithm that implements n -process consensus using object Q initialized to some state $q \neq \perp$. Find an algorithm B that implements n -process consensus using algorithm A , a number of instances of object Q initialized to \perp , and atomic registers, or prove that such an algorithm does not exist.

3 Solutions

First, let us recall the binary consensus algorithm for 2 processes using a queue Q initialized to $\langle \textit{winner}, \textit{loser} \rangle$ and 2 atomic registers $R[1, 2]$:

```
procedure  $cons_i(Q, R, val_i)$   
   $R[i] \leftarrow val_i$   
   $q_i \leftarrow Q.deq()$   
  if  $q_i = \textit{winner}$  then return  $val_i$   
  else return  $R[3 - i]$ 
```

Now, we will implement a binary consensus algorithm for 2 processes using 2 queues, Q_1 and Q_2 , that are initially empty and 6 atomic registers, $R_{1,2}[1, 2]$ and $ready_{1,2}$ (initialized to *false*). The basic idea is the following: each process p_i ($i = 1, 2$) first initializes queue Q_i to $\langle \textit{winner}, \textit{loser} \rangle$ and sets register $ready_i$ to *true*. Once queue Q_i is initialized, each process can run the above consensus algorithm (procedure $cons$) using Q_i and registers $R_i[1, 2]$. Process p_i , after initializing queue Q_i , runs $cons_i$ for each queue that is already initialized (i.e., only Q_i , or both Q_i and Q_{3-i}) in an order common for both processes. An important thing to note is that if p_i decides some value v in the first consensus (using Q_1), then p_i proposes v to the other consensus (using Q_2). The exact algorithm is the following:

```
upon  $propose_i(val_i)$   
   $Q_i.enq(\textit{winner})$   
   $Q_i.enq(\textit{loser})$   
   $ready_i \leftarrow true$   
  for  $k \leftarrow 1, 2$  do  
    if  $ready_k$  then  $val_i \leftarrow cons_i(Q_k, R_k, val_i)$   
  return  $val_i$ 
```

It is straightforward to generalize the above algorithm and thus solve Problem 2. The algorithm is the following:

```
upon  $propose_i(val_i)$   
   $Q_i.init(q)$   
   $ready_i \leftarrow true$   
  for  $k \leftarrow 1, \dots, n$  do  
    if  $ready_k$  then  $val_i \leftarrow cons_i(Q_k, val_i)$   
  return  $val_i$ 
```

Where: $Q_{1,\dots,n}$ are instances of shared object Q initialized to \perp and $cons_i(Q_k, val_i)$ is an n -consensus algorithm (at process p_i) that uses object Q_k initialized to state q .